arXiv:1006.3890v1 [math.DG] 19 Jun 2010

Projective spherically symmetric Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature in R^n

Linfeng Zhou

Department of Mathematics
East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200241, China
E-mail: lfzhou@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Abstract

We investigate projective spherically symmetric Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature in R^n and give the complete classification theorems. Furthermore, a new class of Finsler metrics with two parameters on n-dimensional disk are found to have constant negative flag curvature.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B40, 53C60, 58B20.
Keywords and Phrases: spherical symmetry, projective, constant flag curvature, Bryant metrics.

1 Introduction

Suppose $\Omega \subseteq R^n$ is a convex domain and Finsler metric F is defined on Ω. (Ω, F) is called spherically symmetric if orthogonal matrix $O(n)$ is isometric map of (Ω, F). It means that (Ω, F) is invariant under any rotations in R^n. In [8], using the Killing fields equation, the author proves that Finsler metric (Ω, F) is spherically symmetric if and only if F can be written as $F = \phi(|x|, |y|, \langle x, y\rangle)$.

Since this kind of metrics have a nice symmetry, many complex computation can become much easier. Let $r = |x|$, $u = |y|$ and $v = \langle x, y\rangle$, it is similar with (α, β) metric to compute the fundamental tensor g_{ij} of Finsler metric $F = \phi(r, u, v)$[8][2]:

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\phi\phi_u}{u}\delta_{ij} + (\phi_v^2 + \phi\phi_{vv})x^i x^j + (\frac{\phi_u^2 + \phi\phi_{uu}}{u^2} - \frac{\phi\phi_u}{u^3})y^i y^j + (\frac{\phi_u\phi_v + \phi\phi_{uv}}{u})(x^i y^j + x^j y^i).$$

Thus

$$det(g_{ij}) = (\frac{\phi}{u})^{n+1}\phi_u^{n-2}[\phi_u + (r^2u^2 - v^2)\frac{\phi_{vv}}{u}]. \qquad (1)$$

As we know, the Hilbert's Fourth problem relates to classify the projective Finsler metric in R^n which is still one of the motivation in Finsler geometry [7]. A Finsler metric in R^n is projective if it's geodesics are all straight lines.

According to Rapcsák's lemma [5], a spherically symmetric Finsler metric $F = \phi(r,u,v)$ is projective if and only if ϕ satisfies that [8]

$$\phi_{rv}\frac{v}{r} + \phi_{vv}u^2 = \frac{\phi_r}{r}. \tag{2}$$

Solving the equation can give a total classification theorem:

Theorem 1.1 *[8] Suppose F is a spherically symmetric Finsler metric on a convex domain $\Omega \in R^n$, F is projective if and only if there exist smooth functions $f(t) > 0$ and $g(r)$ s.t.*

$$\phi(r,u,v) = \int f(\frac{v^2}{u^2} - r^2)du + g(r)v$$

where $F(x,y) = \phi(|x|,|y|,\langle x,y\rangle)$.

Furthermore, many classical Finsler metrics are projective spherically symmetric. We now list some of them here:

Example 1.2 (Berwald metric [3]) *Let $B^n \subset R^n$ be a standard unit ball. An (α,β) metric F is defined on B^n:*

$$F(x,y) := \frac{(\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x,y\rangle^2)} + \langle x,y\rangle)^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x,y\rangle^2)}}.$$

F is projective and has constant flag curvature $K = 0$.

Example 1.3 (projective spherical model) *Let $S^n \subset R^{n+1}$ be a standard unit sphere. The standard inner product $\langle,\rangle$ in R^{n+1} induced a Riemannian metric on S^n: for $x \in S^n$, let*

$$\alpha := |y|, \quad y \in T_xS^n \subset R^{n+1}.$$

Let S^n_+ denote the upper hemisphere and let $\psi_+ : R^n \to S^n_+$ be the projection map defined by

$$\psi_+(x) := (\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}}, \frac{1}{1+|x|^2}).$$

The pull-back metric on R^n from S^n_+ by ψ_+ is given by

$$\alpha(x,y) := \frac{\sqrt{|y|^2 + (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x,y\rangle^2)}}{1+|x|^2}, \quad y \in T_xR^n$$

$(R^n, \alpha(x,y))$ is projectively flat and has constant flag curvature $K = 1$.

Example 1.4 (Bryant metric [4]) *Denote*

$$\begin{aligned}
A :=& (\cos(2\alpha)|y|^2 + (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x,y\rangle^2))^2 + (\sin(2\alpha)|y|^2)^2,\\
B :=& \cos(2\alpha)|y|^2 + (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x,y\rangle^2),\\
C :=& \sin(2\alpha)\langle x,y\rangle,\\
D :=& |x|^4 + 2\cos(2\alpha)|x|^2 + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

For an angle α *with* $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{\pi}{2}$*, Bryant metric* F *is defined by*

$$F := \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{A}+B}{2D} + (\frac{C}{D})^2} + \frac{C}{D}$$

on the whole region R^n*. As we know it is projective and has constant flag curvature* $K = 1$*.*

Example 1.5 (Klein model) *Let* $B^n \subset R^n$ *be a standard unit ball and let*

$$\alpha(x,y) := \frac{\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x,y\rangle^2)}}{1-|x|^2}, \quad y \in T_xB^n.$$

$\alpha(x,y)$ *is a Riemannnian metric on* B^n*. It is projective and has constant flag curvature* $K = -1$*.*

Example 1.6 (Funk metric [1]) *A Randers metric* F *is defined on the standard unite ball* B^n*:*

$$F(x,y) := \frac{\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x,y\rangle^2)} + \langle x,y\rangle}{1-|x|^2}.$$

It is also projective and has constant flag curvature $K = -\frac{1}{4}$*.*

Clearly, all of these examples have constant flag curvature. Hence a natural question arises: are there any other projective spherically symmetric Finsler metrics which also have constant flag curvature and how to classify them?

In this paper, focusing on above question, we give an answer: by solving the partial equations which characterize projective symmetric Finsler metric in R^n with constant flag curvature and discussing the different cases, we obtain the complete classification theorems 3.1, 4.1 and 5.1. In theorem 5.1, a new type of Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature are discovered.

2 Characterizing Equations

In [8], the author gives the following theorem which contains two partial equations characterizing projective Finsler metric $F = \phi(|x|, |y|, \langle x,y\rangle)$ with constant flag curvature:

Theorem 2.1 *Let a spherically symmetric Finsler metric* $F = \phi(|x|, |y|, \langle x,y\rangle)$ *be projective on a convex domain* $\Omega \subseteq R^n$*. Then* F *has constant flag curvature* $K = \lambda$ *if and only if* $\phi(r,u,v)$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} 4\lambda r\phi^4\phi_u + r\phi_u Q^2 - 4ru\phi\phi_v Q + 4u\phi^2\phi_r = 0 & \text{(3a)} \\ 4\lambda r\phi^4\phi_v + r\phi_v Q^2 + 2\phi^2 Q_r - 4\phi\phi_r Q = 0 & \text{(3b)} \end{cases}$$

where $Q := \frac{v}{r}\phi_r + u^2\phi_v$*.*

In order to solve the equations, we need change variables to simplify them. Let

$$\begin{cases} r = \sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2} \\ v = u z_2 \\ u = u. \end{cases}$$

Under the new parameters, assume $F = \phi(r, u, v) = \overline{\phi}(z_1, z_2, u)$. Hence we compute

$$\begin{cases} \phi_u = \overline{\phi}_{z_1} \dfrac{z_2^2}{u z_1} - \overline{\phi}_{z_2} \dfrac{z_2}{u} + \overline{\phi}_u \\ \phi_v = -\overline{\phi}_{z_1} \dfrac{z_2}{u z_1} + \overline{\phi}_{z_2} \dfrac{1}{u} \\ \phi_r = \overline{\phi}_{z_1} \dfrac{r}{z_1} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

and

$$Q = \frac{v}{r}\phi_r + u^2 \phi_v = u\overline{\phi}_{z_2}. \tag{5}$$

Plugging (4) and (5) into the equation (3a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}}{u z_1} (&4\lambda\overline{\phi}^4 \overline{\phi}_{z_1} z_2^2 - 4\lambda\overline{\phi}^4 \overline{\phi}_{z_2} z_1 z_2 + 4\lambda\overline{\phi}^5 z_1 + u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 \overline{\phi}_{z_1} z_2^2 - u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^3 z_1 z_2 \\ &- 3u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 \overline{\phi} z_1 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2} \overline{\phi}_{z_1} \overline{\phi} z_2 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}^2 \overline{\phi}_{z_1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\overline{\phi}_{z_1} = \frac{z_1(u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^3 z_2 + 4\lambda\overline{\phi}^4 \overline{\phi}_{z_2} z_2 - 4\lambda\overline{\phi}^5 + 3u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 \overline{\phi})}{4\lambda\overline{\phi}^4 z_2^2 + u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2^2 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2} \overline{\phi} z_2 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}^2}.$$

Observing the homogeneity of Finsler metric $F = \phi(r, u, v) = \overline{\phi}(z_1, z_2, u)$, we have

$$F = \overline{\phi}(z_1, z_2, u) = u\widetilde{\phi}(z_1, z_2).$$

Thus the above equation can be written as

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{z_1} = \frac{z_1(\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^3 z_2 + 4\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^4 \overline{\phi}_{z_2} z_2 - 4\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^5 + 3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 \widetilde{\phi})}{4\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^4 z_2^2 + \widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2^2 + 4\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} \widetilde{\phi} z_2 + 4\widetilde{\phi}^2}. \tag{6}$$

Similarly, substituting (4), (5) and $Q_r = \frac{u\sqrt{z_1^2+z_2^2}}{z_1}\overline{\phi}_{z_1 z_2}$ to the equation (3b) yields the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}\,\overline{\phi}(&16\lambda^2\overline{\phi}^8 z_1 z_2 - 8\lambda\overline{\phi}^4 z_1 u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2 + 32\lambda\overline{\phi}^5 z_1 u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2} - 3u^4\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^4 z_1 z_2 - 8u^4\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^3 z_1 \overline{\phi} \\ &+ 8\overline{\phi}^5 u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_1 z_2} \lambda z_2^2 + 2\overline{\phi} u^4\overline{\phi}_{z_1 z_2} \overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2^2 + 8\overline{\phi}^2 u^4\overline{\phi}_{z_1 z_2} \overline{\phi}_{z_2} z_2 + 8\overline{\phi}^3 u^4\overline{\phi}_{z_1 z_2}) \\ &\div [(4\lambda\overline{\phi}^4 z_2^2 + u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2^2 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2} \overline{\phi} z_2 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}^2) u z_1] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\overline{\phi}_{z_1z_2} = \frac{z_1(-16\lambda^2\overline{\phi}^8 z_2 + 8\lambda u^2\overline{\phi}^4\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2 - 32\lambda u^2\overline{\phi}^5\overline{\phi}_{z_2} + 3u^4\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^4 z_2 + 8u^4\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^3\overline{\phi})}{2u^2\overline{\phi}(4\lambda\overline{\phi}^4 z_2^2 + u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2^2 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}_{z_2}\overline{\phi}z_2 + 4u^2\overline{\phi}^2)}.$$

Since $F = \overline{\phi} = u\widetilde{\phi}(z_1, z_2)$, the above equation implies

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{z_1z_2} = \frac{z_1(-16\lambda^2\widetilde{\phi}^8 z_2 + 8\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^4\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2 - 32\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^5\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + 3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^4 z_2 + 8\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^3\widetilde{\phi})}{2\widetilde{\phi}(4\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^4 z_2^2 + \widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2^2 + 4\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}\widetilde{\phi}z_2 + 4\widetilde{\phi}^2)}. \tag{7}$$

Combining (6)-(7) and $(\widetilde{\phi}_{z_1})_{z_2} = \widetilde{\phi}_{z_1z_2}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}(3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 - 2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2z_2} - 4\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^4)(8\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^3 z_2^2 + 24\widetilde{\phi}^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 z_2 + \widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^4 z_2^3 + 24\widetilde{\phi}^3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}\\ + 16\lambda^2 z_2^3\widetilde{\phi}^8 + 32\lambda z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}^5\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + 32\lambda z_2\widetilde{\phi}^6 + 8\lambda z_2^3\widetilde{\phi}^4\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It means that either

$$3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 - 2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2z_2} - 4\lambda\widetilde{\phi}^4 = 0 \tag{8}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}(2\widetilde{\phi} + z_2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2})(z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 + 6z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + 12\widetilde{\phi}^2)\\ &+ 8\lambda z_2\widetilde{\phi}^4(2\lambda z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}^4 + 4\widetilde{\phi}^2 + 4z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

holds. For the equation (8), we have following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *The solutions of ODE*

$$2y\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - 3(\frac{dy}{dx})^2 + 4\lambda y^4 = 0$$

are

$$y = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad y = \frac{1}{c_1x^2 + c_2x + c_3}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 are constant and satisfy

$$c_2^2 - 4c_1c_3 + 4\lambda = 0.$$

Proof. Obviously $y = 0$ solves the ODE. If $y \neq 0$, use $w = \frac{1}{y}$ to replace y and compute

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{1}{w^2}\frac{dw}{dx} \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -\frac{1}{w^2}\frac{d^2w}{dx^2} + \frac{2}{w^3}(\frac{dw}{dx})^2.$$

Hence the ODE becomes

$$(\frac{dw}{dx})^2 - 2w\frac{d^2w}{dx^2} + 4\lambda = 0. \tag{10}$$

Derivative of above equation yields

$$2w\frac{d^3w}{dx^3} = 0.$$

Since $w \neq 0$, so

$$\frac{d^3w}{dx^3} = 0.$$

Thus

$$w = c_1x^2 + c_2x + c_3.$$

Plugging it into (10), we have

$$(2c_1x + c_2)^2 - 2(c_1x^2 + c_2x + c_3)2c_1 + 4\lambda = 0.$$

This implies that c_1, c_2 and c_3 satisfy

$$c_2^2 - 4c_1c_3 + 4\lambda = 0,$$

as asserted.

Conversely, it is easy to verify that $y = \frac{1}{c_1x^2+c_2x+c_3}$ solves the ODE if c_1, c_2, c_3 satisfy $c_2^2 - 4c_1c_3 + 4\lambda = 0$. Q.E.D.

For simplicity, we may assume that constant flag curvature $K = 0, 1, -1$. For other case, by a scaling transformation, it can be changed into these three cases. Now we are ready to prove the classification theorems of projective spherically symmetric Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature in R^n.

3 Vanishing flag curvature

Let us firstly consider the case of flag curvature $K = 0$:

Theorem 3.1 *On a convex domain $\Omega \subseteq R^n$, a projective spherically symmetric Finsler metric $F = \phi(|x|, |y|, \langle x, y\rangle)$ has constant flag curvature $K = 0$ if and only if either*

(1) $\Omega = R^n$ with Euclidean metric $F = |y|$; or

(2) $\Omega = B^n(\sqrt{c}) := \{x : |x|^2 < c\}$ with Berwald metric

$$F = \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{c - z_1^2}(z_2 \pm \sqrt{c - z_1^2})^2}$$

where $z_1 := \sqrt{|x|^2 - \frac{\langle x,y\rangle^2}{|y|^2}}$, $z_2 := \frac{\langle x,y\rangle}{|y|}$.

Proof. Sufficiency is obvious. Now we only need to prove the necessity. According to the analysis in last section, the projective Finsler metric $F = u\widetilde{\phi}(z_1, z_2)$ which has constant flag curvature must satisfy either (8) or (9). When $K = \lambda = 0$, (8) and (9) can be written as

$$3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 - 2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2z_2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \tag{8'}$$

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}(2\widetilde{\phi}+z_2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2})(z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2+6z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}+12\widetilde{\phi}^2)=0. \tag{9'}$$

If (9′) holds, it is equivalent to have either

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}=0 \quad or$$

$$2\widetilde{\phi}+z_2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}=0 \quad or$$

$$z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2+6z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}+12\widetilde{\phi}^2=0.$$

(a) When $\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}=0$, from (6) we find

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{z_1}=0.$$

It means that Finsler metric is Euclidean metric and $F=cu$, $\Omega=R^n$. By a scaling, we may let $F=u$.

(b) When $2\widetilde{\phi}+z_2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}=0$, it can be solved that $\widetilde{\phi}=\frac{c(z_1)}{z_2^2}$. Hence $F=u\widetilde{\phi}=u\frac{c(z_1)}{z_2^2}$. Recalling $z_2=\frac{v}{u}=\frac{\langle x,y\rangle}{|y|}$, we can observe that F is singular on some directions. Consequently, this kind of situation is impossible.

(c) When $z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2+6z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}+12\widetilde{\phi}^2=0$, solving $\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}$ from it, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\phi_{z_2}}=\frac{-6z_2\pm\sqrt{-12z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}^2}}{2z_2^2}.$$

Clearly, there are no real solutions.

Now we mainly focus on studying (8′). By lemma 2.2, we know

$$\widetilde{\phi}(z_1,z_2)=\frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_1(z_1)z_2^2+\widetilde{c}_2(z_1)z_2+\widetilde{c}_3(z_1)}$$

where $\widetilde{c}_2^2-4\widetilde{c}_1\widetilde{c}_3=0$.

(a) If $\widetilde{c}_1=0$, then $\widetilde{c}_2=0$ and $\widetilde{\phi}=\frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_3(z_1)}$. Therefore we have $\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}=0$. Similar with above analysis, we conclude that F is Euclidean metric and $\Omega=R^n$.

(b) If $\widetilde{c}_1\neq 0$, then $\widetilde{c}_3=\frac{\widetilde{c}_2^2}{4\widetilde{c}_1}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\phi}(z_1,z_2)&=\frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_1z_2^2+\widetilde{c}_2z_2+\frac{\widetilde{c}_2^2}{4\widetilde{c}_1}}\\&=\frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_1(z_2+\frac{\widetilde{c}_2}{2\widetilde{c}_1})^2}=\frac{1}{c_1(z_1)(z_2+c_2(z_1))^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we denote $c_1=\widetilde{c}_1$ and $c_2=\frac{\widetilde{c}_2}{2\widetilde{c}_1}$. Plugging $\widetilde{\phi}$ into the equation (6) and computing yields

$$(z_1c_1+c_2^2c_1')z_2+3z_1c_1c_2+c_2^3c_1'+2c_1c_2^2c_2'=0.$$

Since it holds for all $z_2 \in R$, there must have

$$\begin{cases} z_1 c_1 + c_2^2 c_1' = 0 \\ 3 z_1 c_1 c_2 + c_2^3 c_1' + 2 c_1 c_2^2 c_2' = 0. \end{cases}$$

From the first equation, we obtain $c_2 \neq 0$ and $\frac{c_1' c_2^2}{c_1} = -z_1$. By the second equation, we find that $3z_1 + \frac{c_1' c_2^2}{c_1} + 2 c_2 c_2' = 0$. Combining these two identities yields

$$c_2 c_2' = -z_1.$$

So we can solve that

$$c_2 = \pm\sqrt{c - z_1^2} \quad \textit{and} \quad c_1 = d\sqrt{c - z_1^2}$$

where c, d are positive constants. Thereby,

$$F = \overline{\phi}(z_1, z_2, u) = u\widetilde{\phi}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{u}{d\sqrt{c - z_1^2}(z_2 \pm \sqrt{c - z_1^2})^2}.$$

By a scaling, we can let

$$F = \frac{u}{\sqrt{c - z_1^2}(z_2 \pm \sqrt{c - z_1^2})^2}$$

as asserted. Obviously, F can be defined on $\Omega = B^n(\sqrt{c})$. Q.E.D.

Remark. When $c = 1$ in (2) of theorem 3.1, Finsler metric F becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{1 - z_1^2}(z_2 \pm \sqrt{1 - z_1^2})^2} = \frac{|y|(\sqrt{1 - z_1^2} \mp z_2)^2}{\sqrt{1 - z_1^2}(1 - z_1^2 - z_2^2)^2} \\ &= \frac{(\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y\rangle^2)} \mp \langle x, y\rangle)^2}{(1 - |x|^2)^2\sqrt{|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y\rangle^2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is Berwald metric.

4 Constant positive flag curvature

Now we discuss the case of flag curvature $K = 1$:

Theorem 4.1 *On a convex domain $\Omega \subseteq R^n$, a projective spherically symmetric Finsler metric $F = \phi(|x|, |y|, \langle x, y\rangle)$ has constant flag curvature $K = 1$ if and only if either*

(1) $\Omega = R^n$ with projective spherical metric

$$F = \frac{\sqrt{|y|^2 + (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y\rangle^2)}}{1 + |x|^2}; \textit{ or}$$

(2) $\Omega = R^n$ *with Bryant type metric*

$$F = \frac{|y|c_1(z_1)}{c_1(z_1)^2 + \big(z_2 + c_2(z_1)\big)^2}$$

where

$$z_1 := \sqrt{|x|^2 - \frac{\langle x,y\rangle^2}{|y|^2}},\, z_2 := \frac{\langle x,y\rangle}{|y|},$$

$$c_1(z_1) := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 + z_1^2 + \sqrt{(2d_2+z_1^2)^2 + 4d_1^2}},$$

$$c_2(z_1) := \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{-2d_2 - z_1^2 + \sqrt{(2d_2+z_1^2)^2 + 4d_1^2}},$$

d_1 *and* d_2 *are positive constants.*

Proof. Also, we only need to prove the necessity. Under the flag curvature condition $K = \lambda = 1$, the equations (8) and (9) come to

$$3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 - 2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2z_2} - 4\widetilde{\phi}^4 = 0 \quad \textit{and} \tag{8$''$}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}(2\widetilde{\phi} + z_2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2})(z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 + 6z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + 12\widetilde{\phi}^2)\\ &+ 8z_2\widetilde{\phi}^4(2z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}^4 + 4\widetilde{\phi}^2 + 4z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{9$''$}$$

If (9$''$) holds, solving it by Maple we know that

$$\widetilde{\phi} = \frac{f(z_1,z_2)}{z_2}.$$

Hence $F = u\widetilde{\phi}$ is also singular on some directions.

Now we consider the equation (8$''$). Due to lemma 2.2, we know

$$\widetilde{\phi}(z_1,z_2) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_1(z_1)z_2^2 + \widetilde{c}_2(z_1)z_2 + \widetilde{c}_3(z_1)}$$

where $\widetilde{c}_2^2 - 4\widetilde{c}_1\widetilde{c}_3 + 4 = 0$. Obviously, $\widetilde{c}_1 \neq 0$, then $\frac{\widetilde{c}_3}{\widetilde{c}_1} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_1^2} + \frac{\widetilde{c}_2^2}{4\widetilde{c}_1^2}$. Substituting it to $\widetilde{\phi}$ and letting $c_1 = \frac{1}{\widetilde{c}_1}$, $c_2 = \frac{\widetilde{c}_2}{2\widetilde{c}_1}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\phi} = \frac{c_1(z_1)}{c_1(z_1)^2 + (z_2 + c_2(z_1))^2}.$$

Plugging the formula of $\widetilde{\phi}$ into the equation (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&(z_1c_1 - c_1'c_2^2 - c_1'c_1^2)z_2^2 + (-2c_1'c_1^2c_2 + 2c_1c_2^2c_2' - 2c_1'c_2^3 + 2c_1^3c_2' + 4z_1c_1c_2)z_2\\ &\qquad - c_1'c_2^4 + 2c_1c_2^3c_2' + 2c_1^3c_2c_2' + 3z_1c_1c_2^2 + c_1^4c_1' - z_1c_1^3 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It holds for all $z_2 \in R$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} z_1c_1 - c_1'c_2^2 - c_1'c_1^2 = 0 \\ -2c_1'c_1^2c_2 + 2c_1c_2^2c_2' - 2c_1'c_2^3 + 2c_1^3c_2' + 4z_1c_1c_2 = 0 \\ -c_1'c_2^4 + 2c_1c_2^3c_2' + 2c_1^3c_2c_2' + 3z_1c_1c_2^2 + c_1^4c_1' - z_1c_1^3 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Solving above equations and getting rid of the improper solutions will get

$$\begin{cases} c_1(z_1) = \sqrt{z_1^2 + c} \\ c_2(z_1) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} c_1(z_1) = \dfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 + z_1^2 + \sqrt{(2d_2 + z_1^2)^2 + 4d_1^2}} \\ c_2(z_1) = \pm\dfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{-2d_2 - z_1^2 + \sqrt{(2d_2 + z_1^2)^2 + 4d_1^2}}. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

See the following lemma for more details.

In the case of (12), Finsler metric $F = \frac{u\sqrt{c+z_1^2}}{c+z_1^2+z_2^2} = \frac{\sqrt{c|y|^2+|x|^2|y|^2-\langle x,y\rangle^2}}{c+|x|^2}$ is projective spherical metric which can be defined on R^n.

In the case of (13), Finsler metric $F = \frac{uc_1}{c_1^2+(z_2+c_2)^2}$ is Bryant type metric which can also be defined on R^n. Q.E.D.

Remark. It can be verified by Maple: when $d_1 = \frac{1}{4}\sin^2(2\alpha)$, $d_2 = \frac{1}{2}\cos(2\alpha)$, Finsler metric in (2) of theorem 4.1 turns to Bryant metric in example 1.4.

Lemma 4.2 *The solutions of equation system (11) are*

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = 0 \\ c_2 = c_2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} c_1 = \pm\sqrt{z_1^2 + c} \\ c_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} c_1 = \pm\dfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 + z_1^2 \pm \sqrt{(z_1^2 + 2d_2)^2 + 4d_1^2}} \\ c_2 = \pm\dfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{-2d_2 - z_1^2 \pm \sqrt{(z_1^2 + 2d_2)^2 + 4d_1^2}}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The equation system (11) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} c_1'(c_1^2 + c_2^2) = z_1c_1 \\ c_1'c_2(c_1^2 + c_2^2) - c_1c_2'(c_1^2 + c_2^2) - 2z_1c_1c_2 = 0 \\ c_1'(c_1^4 - c_2^4) + 2c_2'c_1c_2(c_1^2 + c_2^2) + 3z_1c_1c_2^2 - z_1c_1^3 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

Obviously, $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = c_2$ is one of the solutions. Now assuming $c_1 \neq 0$, from the first equation of (14) we have

$$c_1' = \frac{z_1 c_1}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}. \tag{15}$$

Plugging it into the second equation of (14), we will get

$$c_2' = -\frac{z_1 c_2}{c_1^2 + c_2^2}. \tag{16}$$

Substituting (15) and (16) to the third equation of (14) will find it automatically holds. Thus the original equation system is equivalent to the equation (15) and (16). Together with these two equations we know

$$(c_1 c_2)' = c_1' c_2 + c_2' c_1 = 0.$$

That means there exists a constant d_1 s.t.

$$c_2 = \frac{d_1}{c_1}.$$

If $d_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$ and (15) becomes

$$c_1' c_1 = z_1.$$

Thus $c_1 = \pm\sqrt{z_1^2 + c}$ where c is a constant. Otherwise, (15) becomes

$$c_1'(c_1 + \frac{d_1^2}{c_1^2}) = z_1.$$

Solve it by an integration to find

$$c_1 = \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 + z_1^2 \pm \sqrt{(z_1^2 + 2d_2)^2 + 4d_1^2}}$$

where d_2 is a constant. So

$$c_2 = \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{-2d_2 - z_1^2 \pm \sqrt{(z_1^2 + 2d_2)^2 + 4d_1^2}}.$$

Q.E.D.

5 Constant negative flag curvature

Finally, we study the case of flag curvature $K = -1$.

Theorem 5.1 *On a convex domain $\Omega \subseteq R^n$, a projective spherically symmetric Finsler metric $F = \phi(|x|, |y|, \langle x, y\rangle)$ has constant flag curvature $K = -1$ if and only if either*

(1) $\Omega = B^n(\sqrt{c})$ *with Klein metric*

$$F = \frac{\sqrt{c|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y\rangle^2)}}{c - |x|^2}; \textit{ or}$$

(2) $\Omega = B^n(\sqrt{c})$ *with Randers metric*

$$F = \frac{\sqrt{c|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y\rangle^2)} + \langle x, y\rangle}{2(c - |x|^2)}; \textit{ or}$$

(3) $\Omega = B^n(\sqrt{2(d_2 - d_1)})$ *with Finsler metric*

$$F = \frac{|y|c_1(z_1)}{c_1(z_1)^2 - \big(z_2 + c_2(z_1)\big)^2}$$

where

$$z_1 := \sqrt{|x|^2 - \frac{\langle x, y\rangle^2}{|y|^2}}, z_2 := \frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{|y|},$$

$$c_1(z_1) := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 - z_1^2 + \sqrt{(2d_2 - z_1^2)^2 - 4d_1^2}},$$

$$c_2(z_1) := \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 - z_1^2 - \sqrt{(2d_2 - z_1^2)^2 - 4d_1^2}},$$

d_1 *and* d_2 *are positive constant.*

Proof. Indicated by (1), (2) and characterizing equations in theorem 2.1, one can prove the sufficiency through a direct calculation. Now we prove the necessity. When the flag curvature $K = \lambda = -1$, the equations (8) and (9) are

$$3\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 - 2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2z_2} + 4\widetilde{\phi}^4 = 0 \quad \textit{and} \tag{8'''}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}(2\widetilde{\phi} + z_2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2})(z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2 + 6z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + 12\widetilde{\phi}^2) \\ &- 8z_2\widetilde{\phi}^4(2z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}^4 + 4\widetilde{\phi}^2 + 4z_2\widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2} + z_2^2\widetilde{\phi}_{z_2}^2) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9'''}$$

Analogously, Finsler metric can't satisfy (9‴). Thus we only need consider the equation (8‴). Because of lemma 2.2 and some computation, we have

$$\widetilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{2(z_2 + c_1(z_1))} \quad \textit{or} \quad \widetilde{\phi} = \frac{c_1(z_1)}{c_1(z_1)^2 - (z_2 + c_2(z_1))^2}.$$

If $\widetilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{2(z_2+c_1(z_1))}$, plugging it into the equation (6) obtains

$$c_1'c_1 + z_1 = 0.$$

So $c_1 = \pm\sqrt{c - z_1^2}$ and F becomes

$$F = \phi = \frac{u}{2(z_2 \pm \sqrt{c - z_1^2})} = \frac{u(\sqrt{c - z_1^2} \mp z_2)}{2(c - z_1^2 - z_2^2)}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{c|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y\rangle^2)} \mp \langle x, y\rangle}{2(c - |x|^2)}.$$

That is a Randers metric which is defined on $B^n(\sqrt{c})$.

If $\widetilde{\phi} = \frac{c_1(z_1)}{c_1(z_1)^2 - (z_2 + c_2(z_1))^2}$, from the equation (6) we have

$$(-z_1c_1 + c_1'c_2^2 - c_1'c_1^2)z_2^2 + (-2c_1'c_1^2c_2 - 2c_1c_2^2c_2' + 2c_1'c_2^3 + 2c_1^3c_2' - 4z_1c_1c_2)z_2 + c_1'c_2^4 - 2c_1c_2^3c_2' + 2c_1^3c_2c_2' - 3z_1c_1c_2^2 - c_1^4c_1' - z_1c_1^3 = 0.$$

It holds for all $z_2 \in R$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} -z_1c_1 + c_1'c_2^2 - c_1'c_1^2 = 0 \\ -2c_1'c_1^2c_2 - 2c_1c_2^2c_2' + 2c_1'c_2^3 + 2c_1^3c_2' - 4z_1c_1c_2 = 0 \\ c_1'c_2^4 - 2c_1c_2^3c_2' + 2c_1^3c_2c_2' - 3z_1c_1c_2^2 - c_1^4c_1' - z_1c_1^3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving above equations and discarding the unsuitable solutions will yield

$$\begin{cases} c_1(z_1) = \sqrt{c - z_1^2} \\ c_2(z_1) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} c_1(z_1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 - z_1^2 + \sqrt{(2d_2 - z_1^2)^2 - 4d_1^2}} \\ c_2(z_1) = \pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\sqrt{2d_2 - z_1^2 - \sqrt{(2d_2 - z_1^2)^2 - 4d_1^2}}. \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

In the case of (17), Finsler metric $F = \frac{u\sqrt{c - z_1^2}}{c - z_1^2 - z_2^2} = \frac{\sqrt{c|y|^2 - (|x|^2|y|^2 - \langle x, y\rangle^2)}}{c - |x|^2}$ is Klein metric which is defined on $B^n(\sqrt{c})$.

In the case of (18), Finsler metric $F = \frac{uc_1}{c_1^2 - (z_2 + c_2)^2}$ is a new Finsler metric which can be defined on $B^n(\sqrt{2(d_2 - d_1)})$. Q.E.D.

Remark. To some extend, like Klein model and projective spherical model, Finsler metric defined in (3) of theorem 5.1 can be viewed as one of the pair of Bryant metric.

References

[1] D. Bao, S.S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, Springer, 2000.

[2] S.S. Chern and Z. Shen, *Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, World Scientific Publishers, 2005.

[3] L. Berwald, *Über Finslersche und Cartansche geometrie IV. Projektivkrümmung allgemeiner affiner Räume und Finslersche Räume skalarer Krümmung*, Ann. Math. 48(1947), 755-781.

[4] R. Bryant, *Finsler structures on the 2-sphere satisfying K=1*, Finsler Geometry, Contemporary Mathematics 196, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, 27-42.

[5] A. Rapcsák, *Über die bahntreuen Abdildungen metrisher Räume*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 8(1961), 285-290.

[6] Petersen, Peter. *Riemannian geometry.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[7] Z. Shen, *Projectively flat Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature*, Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 355(4) (2003), 1713-1728.

[8] L. Zhou, *Spherically symetric Finsler metrics in R^n*, preprint.

LaTeX